

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

	SAN JUSE DIVISION
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintif	Case Number 10mj71089HRL
v.	
CORNELIO PENA-POMPA, Defend	ant. ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on January 3, 2011.
Defendant was present, represented by his at	torney Cynthia Lie AFPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S.
Attorney Suzanne DeBerry .	The state of the s
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with	an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3	142(1)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has ela	apsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	
This establishes a rebuttable presum	ption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
/ / There is probable cause based u	spon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	
A for which a maxim	num term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
	et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR
This establishes a rebuttable program	924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felow.
appearance of the defendant as required and	ption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the the safety of the community.
No presumption applies.	JAN = 3 LOTT
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF	APPLICABLE RICHARD W. WIEKING
/ / The defendant has not come for	ward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable property U.S. DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
therefore will be ordered detained.	NORTHET . Sale ne
/ / The defendant has come forward	APPLICABLE ward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption [s] to wit: d with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption [s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back	to the United States.
PART HI. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS)	
The United States has proved to	a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defen	dant as required, AND/OR
/ / The United States has proved by	clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other pers	on and the community.
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND	STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
/ / The Court has taken into accoun	t the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	
Defendant, his attorney, and the	AUSA have waived written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENT	·-··
rections facility separate to the extent practice	dy of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
e defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opp	ble from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appea ortunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
ited States or on the request of an attorney for	the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
endant to the United States Marshal for the pu	rpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
The second of the purity of th	rpose of all appearance in connection with a count proceeding.
()	
	Ih man
ed: 1/3/\\	
V - 1 ' \	HOWARD R. ILOYD
• •	United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____